

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/685,341	10/11/2000	Peter Jenkner	198277US0 DIV	7038	
22850 7	590 12/19/2002				
OBLON SPIN	VAK MCCLELLAND I	MAIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAMINER		
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ZIMMER, MARC S		
7. M.C.I. 101011,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/1
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Office Action Summary		09/685,341	JENKNER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAIL INC DATE CO.	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  IN IT	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 E	December 2002 .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	<b></b> .	is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	INCE except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 29,30 and 39-44 is/are pending in the	application.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	Claim(s) 29,30,43 and 44 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 39 and 41 is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 40 and 42 is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	·	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🛛 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠	〗All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	-		
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Dication No.	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applic	eation)
a) (	The translation of the foreign language proview cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has bee	n received	anony.
Notice of Notice of Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	E\	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>13</u> . rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	n Summarv	Day of D	

Application/Control Number: 09/685,341

Art Unit: 1712

## Response to Amendment

In view of Applicant's cancellation of amended claims 23-28, the finality of the Examiner's rejection is hereby withdrawn.

At the behest of the Examiner, Applicant has amended claim 29 to eliminate the product-by-process language, "organosiloxane based composition... prepared by the controlled hydrolysis of" as a means of avoiding the references cited *vida infra*. (The Examiner explained that, if claim 29 was to be rewritten as a two-step process claim wherein the first step entailed the preparation of said composition and the second step involved applying the composition to a filler, than the process limitations associated with the preparation of the organosiloxane could not be ignored. That is, these limitations would no longer be immaterial to a determination of patentability. By contrast, claim 29, as it reads in the submission dated June 4, 2002, is essentially drawn to a method of silanizing filler that essentially involves applying a fluoralkyl-substituted polysiloxane to the surface of a filler wherein the organosilicon product is prepared by the controlled hydrolysis sequence delineated therein. In the Office's view, these are not equivalent claims despite their notable similarities.)

The Examiner also suggested that Applicant could write new claims containing the same limitations as cancelled claims 23, 25, and 27 using the same strategic language employed in twice-amended claim 29. Accordingly, Applicant has added new claims 39-44 drawn to the utilization of the perfluorinated organosiloxane formed by the controlled hydrolysis process of the instant invention as coating materials for the substrates identified in amended claims 23, 25, and 27.

Art Unit: 1712

The Examiner had indicated in the telephone interview conducted on December 9, 2002 that the amendments discussed would place the application in condition for allowance. However, an English language equivalent of a foreign reference that had appeared not to satisfy all of the limitations of the present invention was discovered subsequent to this conversation. Upon reading the English-language equivalent, it was determined that this document did, in fact, satisfy all of the process limitations of at least some of the claims. The Examiner sincerely regrets any inconvenience this may have caused.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the

invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akamatsu et al., U.S. Patent # 6,337,133. Akamatsu discloses a method for producing a water repellant glass pane that involves hydrolyzing/condensing any of the perfluoroalkyl-functionalized silanes mentioned in column 3, lines 49-52 in a lower alcohol such as those mentioned in column 3, lines 56-62. An aqueous solution of either strong- (hydrochloric, nitric) or weak (acetic) acids functions as a catalyst in the

formation of the coating product from the alkoxysilane starting material. These acids are available as aqueous solutions and it is this water that serves as the water of hydrolysis (column 4, lines 6-13). Silane and catalyst are stirred together in the alcohol/water medium for at least 1.5 hrs according to column 4, line 26-30. In the Examples, the temperature at which this reaction step is carried out is room temperature. As for claim 41, insofar as many buildings are at least partially, or even completely, comprised of glass panes, claim 25 is also anticipated.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 29-30 and 43-44 are allowed. Akamatsu makes no mention of glass fibers or inorganic filler as substrates of interest nor would it have been obvious to employ the composition taught by Akamatsu to coat these materials.

Evans et al., U.S. Patent # 4,529,774 is cited as being germane to claim 29 as they recommend coating silica with a fluoroalkyl group-substituted polysiloxane to facilitate their admixture in fluorine-substituted polymers. However, Evans employs chlorinated silanes as starting materials for preparing the perfluorinated organopolysiloxanes whereas alkoxy-substituted silanes are polymerized in the currently claimed processes. There is no specific motivation in the art to replace the chlorosilanes disclosed by Evans with alkoxysilanes hence the claims are allowable over Evans. Maxson, U.S. Patent # 5,302,632 describes the utilization of perfluorinated

Application/Control Number: 09/685,341

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

silicones as coating reagents for silica but there are no instructions as to how the coating materials are made disclosed therein. Diener et al. discloses a filler coating method using perfluoroalkylated trialkoxysilanes as the treating agent. The silane is used directly with no preceding hydrolysis step.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 703-605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

December 11, 2002

Robert Dawson

Supervisory Palent Examiner
Tech. Joby Center 1700